United States Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Issued March 1980

Animal Welfare Enforcement FY 1979

Report of the Secretary of Agriculture to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives



Legislation and Registration

The Animal Welfare Act is composed of a law enacted in 1966 (PL 89-544), and amended in 1970 (PL 91-579) and again in 1976 (PL 94-279). Administration and enforcement of the Act is assigned to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), which issues regulations and standards needed to effectuate the purposes of the Act.

Animals that come under the Act and its regulations include hamsters, guinea pigs, rabbits, dogs, cats, monkeys, and other nonhuman primates and most other warmblooded animals. Animals not included under the Act or regulations are rats, mice, birds, farm animals, and all coldblooded animals such as reptiles and fish.

Marine or aquatic mammals originally were exempted by regulation because available information was not sufficient to support a sound and enforceable scheme of regulation. Over the years, USDA, in cooperation with the Marine Mammal Commission and other private and governmental agencies, developed appropriate

regulations and standards, and USDA published a proposal in the Federal Register of August 18, 1977, to bring marine mammals under regulation.

Varying comments to the proposal were received, necessitating a revised proposal, which was published September 19, 1978. Once again, a number of important issues were raised which required extensive work. Final rulemaking was published in the June 22, 1979, Federal Register and marine mammals are now fully included under the Act and regulations.

Enforcement Officials

Enforcement activities by USDA are carried out by Veterinary Services of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS).

Nationwide, there are five operating regions, with area offices in most State capitals. They can be found under "U.S. Government" listings in the telephone book.

Field Force

The field force consists of veterinary medical officers, compliance officers, animal health technicians, and animal health aides. Most of them do not devote all their time to animal care assignments. In 1979, however, 11 specially trained field veterinarians and 18 compliance officers were assigned exclusively to animal care enforcement. In addition, a large number of animal health aides were hired to work exclusively on animal welfare inspections, particularly at dealer facilities and airports. Because they were able to

This report is prepared annually by the Secretary, as required by Section 25 of the Animal Welfare Act, as amended. wild animals, and animal brokers.
Retail pet stores need not be
licensed unless they sell wild
animals. Also exempted from
licensing are persons who derive no
more than \$500 in gross income per
year from animal sales and do not
sell dogs, cats, or wild animals;
and persons who breed and raise dogs
on their own premises and derive
less than a substantial part of
their income from sales to wholesale
buyers or research facilities.


At the end of 1979, there were 3,982 active licensed dealers, of which 622 were newly licensed (appendix, table 1). During the fiscal year, 868 dealers surrendered their licenses. Another 79 licensees were considered inactive because they had not renewed their licenses, and APHIS had not yet cancelled them.

Carriers and Intermediate Handlers

Regulated carriers are enterprises engaged in the business of transporting animals for hire. In practice, almost all carriers are airlines, although railroads, motor carriers, and shipping lines also can qualify.

Intermediate handlers are enterprises taking custody of animals in connection with their transportation in commerce. In practice, most are kennels that offer airport pickup and delivery service for pets. Dealers, research facilities, and exhibitors may function as carriers and intermediate handlers, but need not have a separate registration. Departments, agencies, or instrumentalities of Federal, State, or local governments can also be "intermediate handlers."






The reports cover the care, treatment, and use of laboratory animals, including appropriate use of anesthetic, analgesic, and tranquilizing drugs. The reporting requirement is not meant to interfere with research in any way. Its purpose is to assure that research and experimentation deemed necessary by the institution is done with proper attention to the care and treatment of laboratory animals (appendix, tables 2, 3, 4, and 5).

During 1979, the form on which research facilities report the use of regulated animals was changed. The new format is intended to remind those completing the form that

appropriate pain relief must be provided not only during actual research, testing, and experimentation but also during the period that follows, when animals are recovering from the research procedures.

The report on pain relief, as well as the overall records of animal care at research facilities, is monitored by APHIS field inspectors who conduct recurring compliance inspections. The standards on veterinary care require that each animal must be inspected daily for signs of ill health. Sick animals must receive prompt, professional care—whether or not drugs are given.



Implementing Marine Mammal Regulation

APHIS now is enforcing rules published on June 22, 1979, placing marine mammals under the protection of the Animal Welfare Act. Standards for housing marine mammals became effective September 20, 1979. However, officials recognize that tanks, pools, and other structures cannot be rebuilt instantly to meet new standards, so the regulations provide for variances lasting up to 3 years.

The deadline for submitting applications for variances was November 20, 1979, and APHIS already had received a number of them at the end of the fiscal year. Applications for variances do not guarantee that a variance is granted. Each application is thoroughly reviewed to assure that the health and wellbeing of animals is not threatened.

Exhibitors are not granted variances for facilities in which to keep animals not presently housed on their premises. Federal agencies do not issue permits to obtain animals unless APHIS certifies that the animals will be housed in a facility that fully meets APHIS standards. This provision is part of an

agreement between APHIS and the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Marine Fisheries Service, the U.S. Department of the Interior's Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Marine Mammal Commission.

Investigation of Public Complaints

Aware and concerned members of the public can and do uncover instances of inhumane and illegal care and treatment of animals. APHIS responds to all public complaints and puts a high priority on investigating those that involve possible violations of the Animal Welfare Act. Again in 1979, a steady flow of letters, telegrams, and telephone calls were received on the topic.

Public complaints led to 703 investigations during 1979 (appendix, table 6).


Prelicensing Inspections

Prelicensing inspections are made before dealers and exhibitors are licensed to assure that their facilities, housing, equipment, and programs of veterinary care meet Federal standards. APHIS also inspects kennels before they are used to hold animals for licensed dealers to help them comply with the 5-day holding requirement for dogs and cats (an anti-petnapping provision of the Animal Welfare Act).

APHIS representatives conducted 1,727 such inspections during 1979 (appendix, table 6).

Recurring Compliance Inspections

All licensees and registrants are inspected to determine if they meet USDA regulations and standards. Unannounced inspections are made at their business locations and at all premises where they keep regulated animals.



Letters of warning

Some reports of alleged violations are closed by letters of warning citing a specific violation and offering assistance to avoid further violations. Warning letters generally deter further violations, but when they are not heeded, they strengthen future prosecution.

In 1979, APHIS issued 52 letters of warning (appendix, table 7).

Public Information

Public information to support APHIS animal welfare activities is handled by the APHIS Information Division. Information materials generated on animal welfare are distributed through public media. Mailings are made to target audiences, such as humane societies, publishers of

trade magazines, and other national, regional, State, and local organizations that publish information on animal welfare.

Regional information offices of USDA distribute information of local interest to newspapers and other local media. In addition, staff and field officials and information officers cooperate in bringing talks, exhibits, and information materials to conventions and exhibitions sponsored by industry and humane organizations.

Press Releases and Publications

Press releases issued on animal welfare are used to report charges filed against violators and the outcome of resulting prosecutions.

APHIS finds that when it publicizes

APPENDIX

	Table 1	Table 1Number of active licensees and registrants FY 79 Animal Exhibitors Registrants						
	Intermediate		Animal	Registered				
a	Handlers and	Licensed			Research			
State	Carriers	Dealers	Licensed	Registered	Facilities			
TOTAL U.S.	139	3,982	978	239	1,051			
Alabama	. 0	25	3	0	7			
Alaska	ì	0	2	3	1			
	Ō	19	7	0	8			
Arizona	Ö	87	8	1	2			
Arkansas California	17	82	119	1	126			
	11	23	6	10	24			
Colorado	1	9	19	0	14			
Connecticut	Ö	5	1	0	6			
Delaware	18	99	144	4	36			
Florida	3	12	15	5	6			
Georgia	3	·	·	•	55			
Hawaii	10	0 11	9 5	0 0	55 4			
Idaho	2	132	35	3	57			
Illinois	1	66	37	3	20			
Indiana	0	498	6	8	10			
Iowa	1	430						
Kansas	1	939	12	9 4	14 4			
Kentucky	0	8	1	2	. 13			
Louisiana	1 .	8	6		12			
Maine	3	20	11	0 2	25			
Maryland	4	13	8	2				
Massachusetts	1	33	28	1	58 46			
Michigan	1	42	8	52 2				
Minnesota	3	119	25	24	15			
Mississippi	1	23	6	1	2 25			
Missouri	1	548	14	8	23			
Montana	0	5	3	1	2			
Nebraska	0	153	5	2	9			
Nevada	0	2	15	0	1 3			
New Hampshire	0	14	10	0 0	56			
New Jersey	2	39	28	U	50			
New Mexico	4	6	4	1	6			
New York	10	57	46	2	111			
North Carolina	5	34	26	5	18			
North Dakota	1	10	5	0	3 64			
Ohio	0	101	32	16	04			
Oklahoma	1	190	10	1	10			
Oregon	0	82	18	0	14			
Pennsylvania	5	96	67	0	75 10			
Rhode Island	0	5	6	0	10 4			
South Carolina	4	20	7	4	4			
South Dakota	0	47	5	0	2			
Tennessee	1	13	10	0	10			
Texas	8	117	35	21	33			
Utah	3	6	7	0	8 5			
Vermont	1	15	2	0 0	11			
Virginia	3	22	12	1	16			
Washington	7	26	13	4	4			
West Virginia	0	5	3	41	19			
Wisconsin	1	59	66	1	4			
Wyoming	0	2	1	-				
Puerto Rico	1	35	7	0	6			
District of Columb	oia 1	0	0	0	0			

Table 3.--"Other" animals used in experimentation (FY 1979)
(A tabulation of animals listed as "other" in Table 2)

	(A tabulation of anim	hals listed as other	HEL IN TABLE 27	
State	Guinea pigs	Hamsters	Rabbits	Wild animals
TOTAL U.S.	457,134	419,504	539,594	76,247
41 -1	990	9,468	3,020	14
Alabama	40	-	-	311
Alaska	1,903	1,210	2,140	297
Arizona	366	66	657	17
Arkansas California	34,526	40,143	63,994	6,745
V42.1	- 1,-			/ 26
Colorado	5,968	3,356	5,971	436 132
Connecticut	5,993	4,711	6,450	
Delaware	7,673	-	1,286	200
Florida	4,706	1,742	11,004	3,494
Georgia	862	23,303	4,639	825
77	413	300	330	60
Hawaii	164	500	163	161
Idaho	228,741	19,154	42,451	4,109
Illinois		2,575	9,749	1,008
Indiana Iowa	20,443 4,460	16,579	5,493	1,044
252	-			1 007
Kansas	16,514	31,924	6,566	1,907 39
Kentucky	775	4,573	868	549
Louisiana	1,427	590	1,655	25
Maine	53	70	5,340	32
Maryland	11,016	9,328	5,384	
Massachusetts	11,697	24,238	21,600	2,978
Michigan	28,088	16,727	16,825	509
Minnesota	3,821	816	7,452	2,341
Mississippi	356	443	315	47
Missouri	12,012	12,590	27,482	1,811
Montana	170	79	357	122
Nebraska	1,484	20,763	1,903	307
Nevada	292	108	112	84
New Hampshire	98	1,745	341	74
New Jersey	57,265	40,886	97,711	11,784
	•	* 0//	760	787
New Mexico	1,086	1,344	768 27 106	3,533
New York	55,465	22,717	37,196	2,514
North Carolina	8,528	5,869	6,072 625	94
North Dakota	92	50 5 620	14,124	1,825
Ohio	16,191	5,629 173	917	181
Oklahoma	406	1,117	1,861	4,105
Oregon	1,533	24,188	30,250	1,845
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	27,820 86	362	262	79
South Carolina	632	2,781	932	174
			225	12
South Dakota	132	660	335 7,614	287
Tennessee	7,316	13,501		2,474
Texas	9,753	14,257	23,301	367
Utah	564	46	3,821	229
Vermont	225	300	1,738 9,054	3,318
Virginia Washington	3,094	1,441	5,836	194
Washington	11,752	2,028	893	55
West Virginia	2,895	849 8 757	9,691	8,351
Wisconsin Wyoming	5,845 131	8,757 317	35	75
	131	31,		
Puerto Rico	300	-	273	140
Dist. of Columbia	547	418	533	149
Fed. Agencies	40,425	25,213	32,205	4,137

Table 5.--Animals to which pain relieving drugs were administered to avoid pain or distress (FY 1979)

Table 5	-Anima	ls to	which pain re	lieving d	rugs were	of animals	red to av	old pain of	distress	(11 15/5)
	Numbe	rof	<u> </u>		Number	OI animais	Guinea		Wild	
State	repor		m 1	Dogg	Cats	Primates	Pigs	Hamsters	Anima	ls
	facil	ities	Total	Dogs	Cals	111macco	8-			
/TAL U.S	s. 6	82	504,790	146,654	45,813	20,362	81,563	87,244	116,202	6,952
Alabama		5	15,801	3,895	1,083	133	526	8,650	1,514	– 5
Akaska		1	5		2/5	-	496	472	552	3
Arizona		7	2,853	955	345	30	343	66	366	9
Arkansas		1	1,483	546	132	21		2,751	15,242	356
Californ:	ia	52	39,937	9,713	3,080	1,556	7,239			81
Colorado		13	5,665	2,403	600		773	140	1,668	5
Connection	cut	6	8,235	632	603	118	2,523	2,451	1,903	
Delaware		3	377	134	_	3	0	0	.40	200
Florida		18	7,305	2,142	1,407	55	883	903	1,706	209
Georgia		3	6,984	1,288	451	160	563	1,282	3,202	38
		•	0.7	4	_	52	13	_	28	_
Hawaii		3	97 25	6	19	<i>J</i> 2	-	_	_	_
Idaho		2		10,582	1,309	946	1,869	3,874	4,387	573
Illinois		31	23,540	3,809	1,497	85	3,999	702	3,164	56
Indiana		9	13,312	2,009	799	25	211	300	761	485
Iowa		7	4,667	2,086	133	23	211	300	,	
Kansas		7	22,551	1,145	92	5	2,250	16,108	2,917	34
Kentucky		3	5,395	1,460	349	35	415	2,512	615	9
Louisian		6	5,930	2,725	1,366	595	763	107	374	-
	a	7	134			_	3	8	123	_
Maine	1	13	14,226	4,171	1,413	894	2,350	4,177	1,221	_
Maryland		29	14,286	4,428		494	667		3,644	323
Massachu		25	12,010	4,902	992	506	1,743		1,782	106
Michigan		11	11,723	6,117	1,661	122	1,170	-	2,298	270
Minnesot			133	42	-,001		56		23	12
'ssissi ssouri		1 19	30,226	6,539	2,123	348	5,449		12,400	52
550411	•	1,	30,220	.,						
Montana		1	. 15	-	15	·	-	- 1	1 205	_
Nebraska	ı	5	3,885	730		77	57	-	1,295	_
Nevada		1	33	_	9	_	_		24	_
New Hamp	shire	2	664	72	277		9	- · -	36	-
New Jers		28	25,009	4,664	1,886	277	10,368	4,740	2,866	208
New Mexi	lco	2	1,723	342	46	27	791		144	43
New York		61	42,190	10,552	5,607	2,349	8,485		9,281	453
North Ca			13,858	3,903		227	2,178	3,149	2,468	390
North Da		2	614	93		6	72	_	416	15
Ohio		41	15,619	6,336	1,085	309	2,490	886	4,289	224
Oklahoma	a	6	2,932	1,026		48	392		716	130
Oregon		8	4,707	608		1,188	622		1,152	545
Pennsylv	vania	41	23,353	6,383	3,470	847	3,778	4,325	4,448	102
Rhode Is		4	1,325	507		19	26	362	19	-
South Ca			5,630	1,756		52	180	2,504	844	114
	. •	•	751	162	45	_	50	330	164	_
South Da		2	751			25	1,218		2,045	147
Tennesse	ee	8	7,983	4,077		3,533	1,042		5,680	138
Texas		21	32,472	16,451		2,333	125		2	73
Utah Vannant		6 2	2,598 171	1,115	047	_	12.		118	-
Vermont		2	1/1					33		
Virginia	a	10	11,376	2,257	1,302	1,291	2,753		2,409	
Washing		7	3,117	788		646	85		741	
West Vi		3	324	160	4	_	160		=	_
'.scons:		11	5,570	1,263	282	150	1,754		1,467	54
oming		3	440	25		_	55	5 279	19	32
ruerto I	Rico	2	208	30	15	-	50) –	113	-
District										
Columb		3	2,083	861	772	20	31	L 24	364	11
Federal										
Agenc	ies	106	49,240	12,769	3,404	3,086	10,279	9 4,375	14,661	666

Table 7.--Number of cases closed (1979)

	Total	Penalty	Cases closed	Warning issued	
State	closed	fmposed	without prejudice*	199050	
OTAL U.S.	203	18	133	52	
OIM OUR			1	1	
labama	2	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
\laska	-	-		-	
Arizona	_	-	2	-	
Arkansas	2	2	9	-	
California	9	2		•	
Colorado	4	2	1	1 1	
Connecticut	1	-	-	<u>-</u>	
Delaware	_	-	 17	1	
Florida	18	-	17	_	
Georgia	1	-	1		
Hawaii	_	-	-	-	
Idaho	1	-	1	1	
Illinois	6	1	4		
Indiana	4	-	3	1	
Iowa	10	3	6	1	
Vancas	50	_	39	11	
Kansas	_	-	-	-	
Kentucky	-	_	-	-	
Louisiana	2	-	2	<u>-</u>	
Maine Maryland	2	-	1	1	
-	7	2	2	3	
Massachusetts	7 4	-	3	1	
Michigan		_	4	5	
Minnesota	9	_	<u>-</u>	_	
Mississippi	13	4	8	1	
Missouri			-	-	
Montana	-	_	1	-	
Nebraska	1	_	ī	-	
Nevada	1		3	2	
New Hampshire	5	-	3	-	
New Jersey	3	_	~	•	
New Mexico	1	-	_	1 2	
New York	4	-	2	9	
North Carolina	10	-	1	-	
North Dakota	-	-	-	_ _	
Ohio	-	-	-	_	
Oklahoma	2	-	2	<u>-</u>	
Oregon	5	2	3		
Pennsylvania	2	1	1	1	
Rhode Island	1.	-	-	_	
South Carolina	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	
South Dakota	1	-	1	-	
Tennessee	1	-	1,	4	
Texas	8	-	4	<u>-</u>	
Utah	1	1	-	1	
Vermont	1	_	-	±	
Virginia	1	-	1	- 1	
Washington	4	1	2	_	
West Virginia	-	-	-	2	
Wisconsin	6	1	3	_	
Wyoming	-		-	-	
Puerto Rico	_	_	-	-	
District of Col					

^{*}Includes cases for which further action is not warranted.